# Edward River Council Amended Planning Proposal No 6

This planning proposal has been prepared to amend Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of the Deniliquin Local Environmental Plan 2013 to identify a State listed moveable heritage item.

# INTRODUCTION

Council was advised in May 2016 that the 12 Pounder Rifled Breech-Loading Gun (Armstrong) (located in the gazebo at the front entrance to the Deniliquin RSL Club at 72-96 End Street, Deniliquin) had been listed on the State Heritage Register due to its heritage significance to the people of the state of NSW. Given that it has now been listed, it is appropriate that the item be identified in Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of the Deniliquin Local Environmental Plan 2013 (LEP 2013) (as are other State listed heritage items).

# PART 1 OBJECTIVES OR INTENDED OUTCOMES

The objective of this planning proposal is to amend Schedule 5 of the Deniliquin Local Environmental Plan 2013 (LEP 2013) to identify a State listed moveable heritage item.

# PART 2 EXPLANATION OF PROVISIONS

The proposed outcome will be achieved by amending Schedule 5 of LEP 2013 by inserting in the appropriate location the following details:

Suburb	Item Name	Address	Property Description	Significance	Item No
Deniliquin	12 Pounder Rifled Breech- Loading Gun (Armstrong)	72-96 End Street	Lot 11 DP544836	State	To be determined

The LEP 2013 Heritage Map – Sheet HER\_005A will be required to be amended to identify the location of the heritage item. Figure 1 shows the location of the site of the item.



Figure 1 Location of the item

# **PART 3 JUSTIFICATION**

Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

The planning proposal is not the result of any strategic study or report. The planning proposal is a result of the State listing of this item of moveable heritage. It is being inserted into the LEP 2013 to ensure that there is a record in the LEP of its listing.

Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

The planning proposal is considered to be the most appropriate means of achieving the objectives and intended outcomes.

Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions of the applicable regional or sub-regional strategy?

There is no regional or sub-regional strategy for the Edward River Local Government area.

Is the planning proposal consistent with a council local strategy or other local strategic plan?

Edward River Council does not have a local strategy or other local strategic plan that applies to the former Deniliquin Local Government Area.

Is the planning proposal consistent with the applicable State Environmental Planning Policies?

There are no SEPPS that apply to this planning proposal.

Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s117 directions)? Direction 2.3 Heritage Conservation applies to this planning proposal as it is proposed to identify a State listed heritage item. It is considered that the planning proposal is consistent with this direction as it is facilitating the conservation of moveable object by identifying it in LEP 2013.

Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

No.

Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

It is considered that no adverse environmental impacts are likely to arise as a result of the planning proposal.

Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects? The social and economic effects are not required to be considered for this planning proposal as it is of an administrative nature.

*Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?*Not applicable.

What are the views of state and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the Gateway determination?

At this stage a gateway determination has not been issued. Council will consult with relevant State and Commonwealth authorities if required to do so when the gateway determination has been issued.

### **PART 4 MAPPING**

The LEP 2013 Heritage Map – Sheet HER\_005A will be required to be amended to identify the location of the heritage item. Figure 1 shows the location of the item.

# PART 5 COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

In accordance with section 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, it is proposed to exhibit the planning proposal for 14 days in the local media and on Council's website. The owner of the land will be notified of the proposed amendment.

# **PART 6 PROJECT TIMELINE**

Given the nature of the amendment, Council proposes to complete the amendment in 6 months.